

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/817,963	03/27/2001	Klaus Lowack	GR 00 P 1583	9891	
24131	7590 07/12/2006	EXAMINER			
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP			TALBOT, BRIAN K		
P O BOX 248 HOLLYWOO	0 D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/817,963	LOWACK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian K. Talbot	1762	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.10 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	April 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 4-8 is/are pending in the application.		·	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	•			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers	•		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
,	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	receivea.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔀 Infoi	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/05.)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
rapi	or recognition batto resilion.	0) 🗀 Oulei	'	

Application/Control Number: 09/817,963 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. The amendment filed 4/26/06 has been considered and entered. Claims 1-3 have been

canceled. Claim 8 has been added. Claims 4-8 remain in the application.

In light of the arguments filed 4/26/06, the 35 USC 112 rejection has been withdrawn. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calabrese et

al. (5,468,597) in combination with Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) or vice versa further in

combination with Bickford et al. (5,800,858)

Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) teaches preparing a substrate, forming ligating groups over

said substrate, forming a photoresist and imaging over the ligating layer, selectively applying a

seeding layer to the ligating layer and plating (col. 3, lines 40-55). The photoresist may also be

applied to the substrate prior to applying the ligating layer. The substrate is silicon.

Art Unit: 1762

•

It is noted that the claimed "activating step" is achieved by the prior art by "ligating layer".

Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) teaches a method for producing high density multi-layered integrated circuits carriers. Coating a base surface with a photosensitive dielectric material, curing and developing the photosensitive dielectric layer, depositing a catalyst to form a sensitized dielectric layer, applying a photoresist layer, developing and curing the photoresist layer, forming conductors on the exposed dielectric layer and repeating the steps (abstract and col. 8, lines 10-35)). The photosensitive layer having a thickness of 0.0007-0.0009 inches. The imaging and patterning is performed on both dielectric layers (photosensitive and photoresist).

Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) fails to teach performing this process comprising two insulating layers overlying a substrate.

Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) fails to teach selective plating of the seeding layer after patterning the photosensitive layer.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) process by performing the process on an insulating layer atop a substrate as evidenced by Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) or to have modified Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) process by performing a "pretreatment" step comprising pretreating/patterning as evidenced by Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) with the expectation of achieving similar results, i.e. selective metallization.

Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) and Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) fail to teach the photosensitive thickness of 5 microns (2.1 mils).

Bickford et al. (5,800,858) teaches a similar process whereby the thickness of the

Art Unit: 1762

polymer films are from 0.3 to 5 mils in thickness which are imaged, developed and seeded prior to metallization. More than one layer of the polymer can be utilized with the layers being of the same polymeric material.

Page 4

Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) and Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) process by utilizing a photosensitive thickness of that claimed with the expectation of obtaining similar results.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the photoresist layer in Calabrese et al. (5,468,597) is not an insulating layer and that the photosensitive dielectric layer in Greenwood et al. (5,679,498) is not the same as the photoresist layer.

The Examiner agrees in part. First off, claims 4,7 and 8 do not require the first and second insulating layer be of the same material. Secondly, the rejection is based upon a combination rejection wherein Bickford et al. (5,800,858) teaches a similar process whereby the first and second polymer layer can be of the same material. There is no requirement that the motivation to make the combination be expressly articulated in one or more of the references; the teaching, suggestion or inference can be found not only in the references but also from

Application/Control Number: 09/817,963

Art Unit: 1762

ø

knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Ashland Oil v. Delta Resins 227 USPQ 657 (CAFC 1985). The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In Re McLaughlin 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971); In Re Rosselet 146 USPQ 183 (CCPA 1969). References are evaluated by what they collectively suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In Re Simon, 174 USPQ 114 (CCPA 1972); In Re Richman 165 USPQ 509, 514 (CCPA 1970).

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BKTallot 7/7/06
Brian K Talbot
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1762

BKT